Neighborhood Disadvantage and Ischemic Stroke The Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS)

Arleen F. Brown, MD, PhD; Li-Jung Liang, PhD; Stefanie D. Vassar, MS; Sharon Stein-Merkin, PhD; W.T. Longstreth, Jr, MD; Bruce Ovbiagele, MD, MS; Tingjian Yan, PhD; José J. Escarce, MD, PhD

- *Background and Purpose*—Neighborhood characteristics may influence the risk of stroke and contribute to socioeconomic disparities in stroke incidence. The objectives of this study were to examine the relationship between neighborhood socioeconomic status and incident ischemic stroke and examine potential mediators of these associations.
- *Methods*—We analyzed data from 3834 whites and 785 blacks enrolled in the Cardiovascular Health Study, a multicenter, population-based, longitudinal study of adults ages ≥65 years from 4 US counties. The primary outcome was adjudicated incident ischemic stroke. Neighborhood socioeconomic status was measured using a composite of 6 census tract variables. Race-stratified multilevel Cox proportional hazard models were constructed adjusted for sociodemographic, behavioral, and biological risk factors.
- *Results*—Among whites, in models adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics, stroke hazard was significantly higher among residents of neighborhoods in the lowest compared with the highest neighborhood socioeconomic status quartile (hazard ratio, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.01–1.72) with greater attenuation of the hazard ratio after adjustment for biological risk factors (hazard ratio, 1.16; 0.88–1.52) than for behavioral risk factors (hazard ratio, 1.30; 0.99–1.70). Among blacks, we found no significant associations between neighborhood socioeconomic status and ischemic stroke.
- *Conclusions*—Higher risk of incident ischemic stroke was observed in the most disadvantaged neighborhoods among whites, but not among blacks. The relationship between neighborhood socioeconomic status and stroke among whites appears to be mediated more strongly by biological than behavioral risk factors. *(Stroke.* 2011;42:3363-3368.)

Key Words: ischemic stroke ■ neighborhood disadvantage ■ risk factors

haracteristics of the neighborhood in which a person lives may influence the risk of stroke and may help to explain why stroke disproportionately affects disadvantaged persons in the United States, including those with low income, less education, and minority status.¹⁻⁵ There is a strong, independent association between residence in a socioeconomically disadvantaged neighborhood and incident coronary heart disease, even after adjustment for individual socioeconomic status (SES).6-9 Research from outside the United States suggests a relationship between low area SES and stroke.^{10–13} Nonetheless, only a few investigators in the United States have addressed the question in stroke, 10,14,15 and they have generally conducted cross-sectional analyses that do not adjust for individual SES and, with few exceptions,10,12 do not examine the role of traditional stroke risk factors as mediators of observed associations between neighborhood SES and stroke. We aimed to improve on these prior studies by examining the relationship between neighborhood SES and incident stroke and exploring the mediators of these associations in the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), a large population-based, longitudinal study of coronary heart disease and stroke in adults \geq 65 years of age. The CHS data are well suited to address these gaps in the nascent literature on socioeconomically disadvantaged neighborhoods in the United States and incident stroke because participants' addresses are geocoded; their sociodemographic, behavioral, and biological stroke risk factors are well characterized; and their follow-up for stroke end points is >10 years.

Methods

The CHS is a longitudinal, population-based study of cardiovascular disease, as detailed previously.^{16,17} Briefly, participants were randomly sampled from Medicare eligibility lists in 4 US communities: Forsyth County, NC; Washington County, MD; Sacramento County, CA; and Pittsburgh (Allegheny County), PA. Eligible participants were ages \geq 65 years, not institutionalized, and not requiring a proxy respondent at the time of recruitment.

Ischemic Stroke

The primary outcome was first ischemic stroke adjudicated by a cerebrovascular disease end point committee that also classified stroke subtype (ischemic, hemorrhagic, and unknown type) and determined whether death was caused by stroke.^{16,18,19}

Received April 14, 2011; final revision received June 26, 2011; accepted June 30, 2011.

From the Division of General Internal Medicine and Health Services Research (A.F.B., L.-J.L., J.J.E.), the Department of Neurology (S.D.V., T.Y.), and the Division of Geriatrics (S.S.-M.), University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA; the Departments of Neurology and Epidemiology (W.T.L.), University of Washington, Seattle, WA; and the Department of Neurology (B.O.), University of California, San Diego, CA.

Correspondence to Arleen F. Brown, MD, PhD, UCLA GIM & HSR, 911 Broxton Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90024. E-mail abrown@mednet.ucla.edu © 2011 American Heart Association, Inc.



Figure. Neighborhood socioeconomic status (NSES): overall versus racespecific quartiles ranges. The NSES index is the sum of the Z-scores of 6 variables from the 1990 US Census, measured at the census tract level: (1) median household income; (2) median value of housing units; (3) percent households with interest, dividend, or rental income; (4) percent of residents >25 with a high school degree; (5) percent of residents >25 with a college degree; and (6) percent of residents in executive, managerial, or professional specialty occupations. A higher NSES score is associated with more neighborhood socioeconomic resources.

Neighborhood SES

Participants' baseline home addresses were geocoded to identify the residential census tract defined in the 1990 US decennial Census. Census tracts were used as a proxy for neighborhood given their relatively small spatial and population size. Although neighborhood definitions vary widely and are not perfectly captured by such administrative units, census tract characteristics have been shown to be robust predictors of health.²⁰

The neighborhood SES (NSES) index used in this study has been previously described in studies of the CHS population.^{21,22} It was constructed by summing the Z-scores of 6 census-derived SES indicators that represent the area's physical and social resources: (1) median household income; (2) median value of housing units; (3) percent households with interest, dividend, or rental income; (4) percent of residents ≥ 25 with a high school degree; (5) percent of residents \geq 25 with a college degree; and (6) percent of residents in executive, managerial, or professional specialty occupations. Quartile 1 represented the highest residential NSES and Quartile 4 the lowest. Neighborhood SES differed between blacks and whites (Figure). Fewer than 25% of blacks in the highest race-specific quartile overlapped with whites in the cohort with even less overlap between the race-specific second and third quartiles of NSES for whites and blacks. Thus, we constructed separate race-specific NSES index quartiles for whites and blacks and conducted race-stratified analyses to examine neighborhood associations with stroke.

Covariates

Sociodemographic characteristics, including age, sex, race, median household income, and education, were all reported in the baseline survey. Health behaviors reported in the interview included smoking history, physical activity, alcohol use, and diet. Dietary intake was available for the original cohort only. Biological characteristics included in the models were subclinical cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, hypertension, diabetes, and total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio. Subclinical cardiovascular disease was defined as evidence of any of the following: ankle–arm index <0.9; carotid stenosis >25%; internal carotid thickness >80th percentile; common carotid thickness >80th percentile; major electrocardiographic abnormalities; abnormal ejection fraction or wall motion on echocardiogram; and claudication or angina on the Rose Questionnaire.²²

Study Sample

Baseline data were available for 5888 participants (Supplemental Figure I; http://stroke.ahajournals.org). We excluded from the analyses 39 adults who were not white or black. Of the 4925 whites, we excluded 875 participants whose addresses were not geocoded or whose addresses matched to block groups with <100 persons, <30 housing units per block, or with >33% persons in group quarters, for example, military bases, 149 with prevalent stroke, and 67 with a

prior transient ischemic attack, leaving an analytic sample of 3834 with 652 incident strokes (548 adjudicated as ischemic). Among the 924 blacks, 68 could not be geocoded, 58 had a prevalent stroke, and 15 reported a prior transient ischemic attack, resulting in an analytic sample of 785, 129 of whom had an incident strokes (102 ischemic).

Analyses

Means and percentage distributions of participant characteristics for those with and without incident ischemic stroke were generated by race. To examine whether NSES was associated with incident ischemic stroke, we constructed multilevel Cox proportional hazard models that adjusted for demographic, behavioral, and/or biological characteristics. Multilevel regression models provide a mechanism for decomposing the variation in outcome variables of interest into separate components due to individual-level and neighborhood-level effects²³ and enable us to estimate and test for neighborhood effects on the outcome variables at the same time as allowing for unobserved heterogeneity at the individual and neighborhood levels. By accounting for possible correlation of the error terms for individuals within the same census tract, they yield more accurate estimates of regression coefficients and SEs. Participants who had no ischemic stroke events before the study end date were censored at their first nonischemic stroke or at the time of death, if death was unrelated to stroke; otherwise, they were censored at June 30, 2006. The race-specific multivariable models included the same covariates with 1 exception: there were too few blacks with a diagnosis of atrial fibrillation to include this variable in the model.

Mediation Analysis

To determine whether observed associations of NSES and ischemic stroke were mediated by behavioral or biological risk factors, we used methods described by Baron et al.²⁴ This approach allows us to decompose the association indirect (through the mediating risk factor) and direct effects. For the mediation analysis, we first examined the direct association between NSES and incident ischemic stroke and then tested whether NSES was associated with either the biological or the behavioral risk factors (as dependent variables) and whether these risk factors, in turn, were significantly associated with incident ischemic stroke. The indirect effects were estimated by calculating the proportion change in the hazard ratio for models with and without the risk factors. We used bootstrapping with 1000 repetitions to estimate SEs of the indirect effects.²⁵ In the mediation analyses, all regression equations were adjusted for individual sociodemographic characteristics.

Sensitivity Analyses

First, to determine whether prior transient ischemic attack influenced the relationship between NSES and incident ischemic stroke, we examined the NSES effect by including participants with a history of transient ischemic attack in the model. We conducted a second sensitivity analysis to examine the role of dietary factors. Because nutrition assessments were only available for participants in the original cohort, we included measures of fat and salt intake in a series of models restricted to white participants. As a third set of sensitivity analysis, we took 3 approaches to incorporating biological risk factors that were measured longitudinally such as systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure. In separate models, we first incorporated the baseline value of these covariates; then the last available measurement before incident ischemic stroke, death, or study end date; and finally, we included them as time-varying covariates.²³

All participants gave written informed consent and all study protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of participating institutions. These analyses were reviewed and approved by the University of California–Los Angeles Institutional Review Board.

Results

Descriptive Results

Compared with those included in the analyses, excluded white participants had higher rates of several demographic,

Table 1. Characteristics of	f the Study	y Population a	at Baseline
-----------------------------	-------------	----------------	-------------

Whites (n=3834), No. (%) or Mean (SD)	Blacks (n=785), No. (%) or Mean (SD)
548 (14.3)	102 (13.0)
72.7 (5.6)	72.7 (5.5)
2223 (58.0)	503 (64.1)
993 (26.0)	343 (44.0)
2106 (54.9)	582 (74.1)
1796 (46.9)	384 (49.1)
1600 (41.7)	272 (34.8)
437 (11.4)	126 (16.1)
1777 (46.5)	515 (66.2)
1527 (40.0)	210 (27.0)
515 (13.5)	53 (6.8)
1884 (2104)	1069 (1436)
96 (2.5)	8 (1.0)
2494 (65.1)	552 (70.3)
1528 (39.9)	472 (60.3)
530 (13.9)	192 (25.4)
4.2 (1.3)	3.8 (1.0)
	Whites (n=3834), No. (%) or Mean (SD) 548 (14.3) 72.7 (5.6) 2223 (58.0) 993 (26.0) 2106 (54.9) 1796 (46.9) 1600 (41.7) 437 (11.4) 1777 (46.5) 1527 (40.0) 515 (13.5) 1884 (2104) 96 (2.5) 2494 (65.1) 1528 (39.9) 530 (13.9) 4.2 (1.3)

HDL indicates high-density lipoprotein; SD, standard deviation.

*Ankle–arm index \leq 0.9, carotid stenosis >25%, internal carotid thickness >80th percentile, major electrocardiographic abnormalities, abnormal ejection fraction or wall motion on echocardiogram, or claudication or angina on the Rose Questionnaire.

†Hypertension: systolic blood pressure \geq 160 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 95 mm Hg.

 $\pm \text{Diabetes:}$ fasting blood glucose $>\!\!126$ mm/dL or diabetes diagnosis and diabetes medication.

behavioral, and biological risk factors for stroke; excluded blacks generally had lower rates of the biological risk factors than those included in the analyses (Supplemental Table III). Over the mean 11.5 years of surveillance (12.2 years for whites and 10.8 years for blacks), 548 (14.3%) of the whites and 102 (13%) of the blacks were diagnosed with incident ischemic stroke (Table 1). Whites resided in 317 of the 363 census tracts represented (median, 8 participants per tract; interquartile range, 3–15) and blacks in 162 tracts (median, 2 participants per tract; interquartile range, 1–6).

NSES and Incident Ischemic Stroke

Among whites, in the unadjusted models, ischemic stroke hazard was higher in all quartiles of NSES compared with the highest NSES (Quartile 1); the hazard ratio (HR) ranged from 1.33 (95% CI, 1.04–1.71) for Quartile 2 to 1.56 (95% CI, 1.22–2.00) for Quartile 4 (the lowest quartile of NSES;

Table 2.	Incident	Ischemic	Stroke,	Hazard	Ratio
----------	----------	----------	---------	--------	-------

	Unadjusted Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	Model 1 (Adjusted for Age, Sex, Income, and Education) Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Model 2 (Model 1+Behavioral Risk Factors*) Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	Model 3 (Model 1+Biological Risk Factors†) Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	Model 4 (Model 1+Behavioral*+ Biological Risk Factors†) Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)
Whites (n=3834)					
Neighborhood SES					
Q1 (highest), reference, N=968	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Q2, N=960	1.33 (1.04–1.71)§	1.27 (0.98–1.63)	1.27 (0.98–1.64)	1.20 (0.93–1.56)	1.21 (0.93–1.56)
Q3, N=962	1.42 (1.11–1.83)§	1.27 (0.92–1.65)	1.26 (0.97–1.64)	1.17 (0.90–1.52)	1.17 (0.90–1.52)
Q4 (lowest), N=944	1.56 (1.22–2.00)§	1.32 (1.01–1.72)§	1.30 (0.99–1.70)	1.16 (0.88–1.52)	1.15 (0.88–1.51)
Test of trend	P=0.0004	P=0.069	P=0.09	P=0.42	P=0.44
Blacks (n=785)‡					
Neighborhood SES					
Q1 (highest) reference, N=197	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Q2, N=205	0.74 (0.43–1.25)	0.68 (0.39–1.16)	0.66 (0.39–1.14)	0.70 (0.40-1.23)	0.68 (0.39-1.20)
Q3, N=208	0.84 (0.51–1.40)	0.70 (0.41–1.17)	0.63 (0.37-1.07)	0.72 (0.42-1.24)	0.64 (0.37-1.12)
Q4 (lowest), N=175	0.71 (0.41–1.25)	0.60 (0.33–1.07)	0.59 (0.33–1.08)	0.67 (0.37-1.22)	0.67 (0.36-1.23)
Test of trend	<i>P</i> =0.30	<i>P</i> =0.08	P=0.05	<i>P</i> =0.17	P=0.13

SES indicates socioeconomic status; Q, quartile; CI, confidence interval.

*Behavioral risk factors: smoking, alcohol use, and physical activity.

+Biological risk factors: electrocardiographic abnormalities, subclinical cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, total/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. +Atrial fibrillation was not included as a biological risk factor in the blacks.

P < 0.05 in comparison to Q1.

||Test of model fit for nested models compared with the full model (Model 4).

unadjusted model; Table 2). After adjusting for age, sex, income, and education (Model 1), only the lowest NSES quartile was associated with a significantly higher stroke hazard (HR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.01-1.72). With additional adjustment for behavioral risk factors resulted (Model 2), the HR did not change appreciably (HR, 1.30; 95% CI, 0.99-1.70). Adjustment for biological risk factors (Model 3) resulted in the most substantial reduction in the NSES HR in Ouartile 4 with a HR of 1.16 (95% CI, 0.88-1.52). This estimate changed little in the full model (Model 4) adjusted for demographic, behavioral, and biological risk factors (HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.88–1.51). In the full model, other covariates associated with stroke hazard were older age, lower educational attainment, subclinical cardiovascular disease, a diagnosis of hypertension, borderline hypertension, and diabetes (Supplemental Table IV).

Among blacks, in contrast to whites, the stroke hazard was lower in all quartiles of NSES compared with Quartile 1 but never reached statistical significance in either the unadjusted or adjusted models. In the fully adjusted model, the HR ranged from 0.64 (95% CI, 0.37–1.12) to 0.68 (95% CI, 0.39–1.20). The only covariate that remained significant in the full model was alcohol use. Compared with participants who did not drink alcohol, those who reported 1 to 7 drinks per week had a lower ischemic stroke hazard; reporting >7drinks per week was not associated with incident stroke.

Among whites, biological risk factors accounted for approximately 13.0% (95% CI, 4.3%–21.0%) of the association between NSES and incident ischemic stroke. In contrast, behavioral risk factors, which were not found to be significant

mediators, accounted for only 1.2% (95% CI, -0.2% to 9.2%) of the association (Supplemental Table III).

Our findings remained robust in the sensitivity analyses. Including participants with a history of transient ischemic attack did not appreciably alter the associations in any of the models. Incorporating dietary cereal fiber or salt intake into models restricted to whites did not change the main findings in the models. Use of baseline biological measurements, last observed biological measurements, and time-varying covariates all produced comparable results, so we presented only results for the baseline measurements.

Discussion

In this longitudinal study, we found higher incidence of ischemic stroke among whites residing in the most disadvantaged neighborhoods. Our analyses suggest that this relationship is mediated primarily through higher levels of biological risk such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and atherosclerotic disease in lower SES neighborhoods. In contrast, among blacks, residents of neighborhoods with lower NSES had lower incidence of ischemic stroke than those in higher SES neighborhoods, although this difference did not reach statistical significance.

Our finding that NSES is mediated by biological risk factors does not mean that neighborhood characteristics do not influence stroke risk nor does it mean that the behavioral risk factors are not important. Instead, these results underscore the importance of understanding the relationship between neighborhood characteristics and both behavioral and biological stroke risk factors over the life course. The cardiometabolic dysregulation that contributes to conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and their complications appears to be influenced by both individualand community-level disadvantage. Recent research suggests that neighborhood disadvantage is independently associated with such measures of biological risk²⁶ and that the incidence of diabetes in a community is associated with specific features of low SES communities, among them few resources for physical activity (eg, parks and recreation areas) and poor access to healthy food.27 The neighborhood socioeconomic disparities in stroke risk evident in our study seem to be mediated by the prevalence of stroke risk factors. Thus, public health efforts to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with stroke may require more coordinated intervention that incorporates both individual and community components.

We interpret the finding of a positive association between NSES and incident ischemic stroke among blacks with caution. First, the relatively small black sample in these analyses may not be representative of black residents of the 4 communities. The differences by race in the strength and direction of the association between NSES and incident ischemic stroke may have several possible explanations. There may have been unmeasured confounders of the relationship, among them life course exposures (eg, maternal malnutrition) that differed between whites and blacks.28 Furthermore, because the vast majority of blacks lived in neighborhoods whose NSES was in the lowest overall quartile for both races, variation among blacks may have been too limited to observe a significant effect of NSES. Finally, although in the general population, stroke incidence rates are higher for blacks than whites at every age, the greatest disparity is seen in young and middle-aged blacks, peaking among adults ages 35 to 44 years.^{29,30} If blacks in the 4 study communities had strokes before becoming eligible for Medicare, they would have been less likely to have been enrolled in the CHS cohort (because of age restrictions, earlier death, or functional limitations that deterred study participation) and, even if enrolled, would have been excluded from these analyses, which were limited to those without a prior stroke. The remaining blacks in the analytic cohort may thus represent "healthy survivors," an effect that would be particularly pronounced if stroke at a younger age were associated with NSES. There is clearly a need for additional investigation into the association between NSES and stroke risk in a large, population-based cohort of blacks.

This study has several strengths. We have a large population-based cohort of older adults who were followed longitudinally for many years, and thus we were able to assess a substantial number of incident ischemic strokes. We also had extensive individual and neighborhood socioeconomic data in addition to detailed data on participants' health behaviors and clinical characteristics.

The study also has potential limitations. Although CHS participants were derived from a representative sample of Medicare enrollees, only 4 geographical areas in the United States were represented. Furthermore, the enrolled participants may not have reflected the racial/ethnic diversity of these areas; some racial/ethnic groups, particularly Latinos,

may be underrepresented among Medicare enrollees, and participants who did not self-identify as white or black were excluded. As the aging population becomes more diverse, the influence of place on the risk of stroke will need to be studied in cohorts of Latinos, Asians, and Pacific/Islanders, particularly those who reside in racially and ethically varied communities. We used baseline residential addresses and did not incorporate information on whether participants moved over the study period. However, residential mobility appears to be relatively low by age 35 years and declines rapidly thereafter, thus using the characteristics of the neighborhood of residence at baseline for those who move is unlikely to bias the estimates that would be obtained if the characteristics of destination neighborhoods were available.31-33 Finally, management of stroke and treatment targets for the biological risk factors has changed appreciably since the study's inception. Nonetheless, disparities resulting from individual and neighborhood disadvantage likely have persisted and may be more pronounced, because diffusion of new therapies is often delayed to vulnerable individuals and communities.

The findings of this study suggest a need to incorporate public health and community-level interventions into the individual efforts to reduce stroke risk in diverse, low-income, and underserved communities. Additional research is needed to understand more fully which features of disadvan-taged neighborhoods have the strongest influence on stroke and its risk factors; how specific neighborhood characteristics such as stressful social environments or inadequate social support, a lack of safe places to exercise or to obtain healthy foods, or an overabundance of fast food outlets may influence the health behaviors and biological factors that contribute to stroke; and whether these associations differ by race/ethnicity.³⁴

Sources of Funding

This work was supported by the American Heart Association PRT-Spina Outcomes Research Center No. 0875135N and by N01-HC-85239, N01-HC-85079 through N01-HC-85086, N01-HC-35129, N01 HC-15103, N01 HC-55222, N01-HC-75150, and N01-HC-45133; grant number U01 HL080295 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute with additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Additional support was provided through AG-023629, AG-15928, AG-20098, and AG-027058 from the National Institute on Aging (NIA). A full list of principal CHS investigators and institutions can be found at www.chs-nhlbi.org/pi.htm.

None.

Disclosures

References

- Avendano M, Kawachi I, Van Lenthe F, Boshuizen HC, Mackenbach JP, Van den Bos GA, et al. Socioeconomic status and stroke incidence in the US elderly: the role of risk factors in the EPESE study. *Stroke*. 2006;37: 1368–1373.
- Gillum RF, Mussolino ME. Education, poverty, and stroke incidence in whites and blacks: the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2003;56:188–195.
- Howard G, Howard VJ, Katholi C, Oli MK, Huston S. Decline in US stroke mortality: an analysis of temporal patterns by sex, race, and geographic region. *Stroke*. 2001;32:2213–2220.
- Stansbury JP, Jia H, Williams LS, Vogel WB, Duncan PW. Ethnic disparities in stroke: epidemiology, acute care, and postacute outcomes. *Stroke*. 2005;36:374–386.

- Rosamond W, Flegal K, Furie K, Go A, Greenlund K, Haase N, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2008 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. *Circulation*. 2008;117:e25–e146.
- Diez Roux AV, Merkin SS, Arnett D, Chambless L, Massing M, Nieto FJ. Neighborhood of residence and incidence of coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345:99–106.
- Sundquist K, Malmstrom M, Johansson SE. Neighbourhood deprivation and incidence of coronary heart disease: a multilevel study of 2.6 million women and men in Sweden. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004;58: 71–77.
- Winkleby M, Sundquist K, Cubbin C. Inequities in CHD incidence and case fatality by neighborhood deprivation. *Am J Prev Med.* 2007;32: 97–106.
- Grimaud O, Bejot Y, Heritage Z, Vallee J, Cadot E, Giroud M, et al. Incidence of stroke and socioeconomic neighborhood characteristics: an ecological analysis of Dijon Stroke Registry. *Stroke*. 2011;42:1201–1206.
- Aslanyan S, Weir CJ, Lees KR, Reid JL, McInnes GT. Effect of area-based deprivation on the severity, subtype, and outcome of ischemic stroke. *Stroke.* 2003;34:2623–2628.
- Brown P, Guy M, Broad J. Individual socio-economic status, community socio-economic status and stroke in New Zealand: a case control study. *Soc Sci Med.* 2005;61:1174–1188.
- Engstrom G, Jerntorp I, Pessah-Rasmussen H, Hedblad B, Berglund G, Janzon L. Geographic distribution of stroke incidence within an urban population: relations to socioeconomic circumstances and prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors. *Stroke*. 2001;32:1098–1103.
- Thrift AG, Dewey HM, Sturm JW, Paul SL, Gilligan AK, Srikanth VK, et al. Greater incidence of both fatal and nonfatal strokes in disadvantaged areas: the Northeast Melbourne Stroke Incidence Study. *Stroke*. 2006;37: 877–882.
- Lisabeth LD, Diez Roux AV, Escobar JD, Smith MA, Morgenstern LB. Neighborhood environment and risk of ischemic stroke: the Brain Attack Surveillance In Corpus Christi (BASIC) Project. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007; 165:279–287.
- Morgenstern LB, Escobar JD, Sanchez BN, Hughes R, Zuniga BG, Garcia N, et al. Fast food and neighborhood stroke risk. *Ann Neurol.* 2009;66:165–170.
- Fried LP, Borhani NO, Enright P, Furberg CD, Gardin JM, Kronmal RA, et al. The Cardiovascular Health Study: design and rationale. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1991;1:263–276.
- Tell GS, Fried LP, Hermanson B, Manolio TA, Newman AB, Borhani NO. Recruitment of adults 65 years and older as participants in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1993;3:358–366.
- Ives DG, Fitzpatrick AL, Bild DE, Psaty BM, Kuller LH, Crowley P, et al. Surveillance and ascertainment of cardiovascular events: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Ann Epidemiol. 1995;5:278–285.

- Longstreth WT Jr, Bernick C, Fitzpatrick A, Cushman M, Knepper L, Lima J, et al. Frequency and predictors of stroke death in 5888 participants in the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Neurology*. 2001;56:368–375.
- Diez-Roux AV. Multilevel analysis in public health research. Annu Rev Public Health. 2000;21:171–192.
- Diez-Roux AV, Kiefe CI, Jacobs DR Jr, Haan M, Jackson SA, Neito FJ, et al. Area characteristics and individual-level socioeconomic position indicators in three population-based epidemiologic studies. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2001;11:395–405.
- Nordstrom CK, Diez Roux AV, Jackson SA, Gardin JM. The association of personal and neighborhood socioeconomic indicators with subclinical cardiovascular disease in an elderly cohort. The Cardiovascular Health Study. Soc Sci Med. 2004;59:2139–2147.
- Kalbfleisch JD, Prentice RL. The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data, II ed. NJ: Hoboken, Wiley; 2002.
- Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1986;51:1173–1182.
- Shrout PE, Bolger N. Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: new procedures and recommendations. *Psychol Methods*. 2002; 7:422–445.
- Bird CE, Seeman T, Escarce JJ, Basurto-Davila R, Finch BK, Dubowitz T, et al. Neighbourhood socioeconomic status and biological 'wear and tear' in a nationally representative sample of US adults. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2010;64:860–865.
- Auchincloss AH, Diez Roux AV, Mujahid MS, Shen M, Bertoni AG, Carnethon MR. Neighborhood resources for physical activity and healthy foods and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Multi-Ethnic study of Atherosclerosis. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009;169:1698–1704.
- Barker DJ. Intrauterine programming of coronary heart disease and stroke. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 1997;423:178–182.
- Chong JY, Sacco RL. Epidemiology of stroke in young adults: race/ethnic differences. J Thromb Thrombolysis. 2005;20:77–83.
- Kissela B, Schneider A, Kleindorfer D, Khoury J, Miller R, Alwell K, et al. Stroke in a biracial population: the excess burden of stroke among blacks. *Stroke*. 2004;35:426–431.
- Jackson M, Mare RD. Understanding the Effects of Neighborhoods on Children: Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Measures of the Neighborhood Experience. Proceedings of the Population Association of America Annual Meeting; Los Angeles, CA. 2004.
- Kunz J, Page ME, Solon G. Are point-in-time measures of neighborhood characteristics useful proxies for children's long-run neighborhood environment? *Economics Letters*. 2003;79:231–239.
- South SJ, Crowder KD. Residential mobility between cities and suburbs: race, suburbanization, and back-to-the-city moves. *Demography*. 1997; 34:525–538.
- Clark CJ, Guo H, Lunos S, Aggarwal NT, Beck T, Evans DA, et al. Neighborhood cohesion is associated with reduced risk of stroke mortality. *Stroke*. 2011;42:1212–1217.