Healthcare resource use and cost in antifibrotic-treated vs untreated patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) in the US Medicare population

Sheila R. Reddy,¹ Eunice Chang,¹ Michael S. Broder,¹ Sohum Gokhale,¹ Mitra Corral,²

¹Partnership for Health Analytic Research, LLC, Beverly Hills, CA; ²Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA

RATIONALE

- Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a form of chronic interstitial lung disease (ILD) of unknown cause prevalent in adults 55 years and older, and is characterized by progressive dyspnea and cough, disability, and ultimately death¹⁻³
- In October 2014, 2 antifibrotic therapies, pirfenidone and nintedanib, were approved by the FDA to treat IPF.
- Despite the acceptance of antifibrotic drug therapy into IPF treatment guidelines, research has shown that patients remain untreated^{4.7.8}
- Limited real-world evidence data are available about antifibrotic treatment effectiveness among older patients with IPF, especially those not covered by an employee-sponsored healthcare plan⁹
- This study compared all-cause and respiratory healthcare utilization (HCU) and costs in a large sample of patients (pts) with IPF initiating antifibrotics vs. untreated pts.

METHODS

Study Design:

- Retrospective analysis
- 2010–2017 administrative claims data from the 100% sample of Medicare beneficiaries (Research Identifiable Files)
- Treated patients were compared with a matched cohort of untreated historical controls

Patient Population:

- All Medicare beneficiaries with IPF defined as having ≥ 1 inpatient or ≥ 2 outpatient claims with an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for IPF (*ICD-9-CM: 516.3, 516.30, 516.31; ICD-10-CM: J84.111, J84.112*)
- 2 groups were created relative to the US FDA approval date for antifibrotic therapy (10/15/2014):
 - Treated patients: beneficiaries who initiated antifibrotics (≥ 1 prescription refill of pirfenidone or nintedanib) during a treated ID period of 10/15/2014–12/31/2017
 - The first fill date in the ID period was defined as index date (index)
 - Untreated patients: beneficiaries not receiving antifibrotics during an untreated ID period of 1/1/2012–10/14/2014
 - ≥ 1 IPF diagnosis had to occur during the ID period, with the first IPF claim defined as index

Censoring and Follow-up Time:

- Patients who received a lung transplant after index, treated patients who switched or discontinued index treatment were all censored
- · Patients were not required to have a minimum follow-up time after index

Matching and Study Measures:

- To optimize the balance of characteristics between the study groups, untreated patients were matched 1:1 to treated patients by using the propensity score
- Study outcomes: all-cause and respiratory-related inpatient hospitalizations, ICU stays, inpatient and outpatient costs during follow-up

Statistical Analyses:

- · Descriptive statistics generated for all baseline characteristics
- Means and standard deviations (SD) reported for continuous variables, and frequencies and percentages for categorical data
- The rate of hospitalizations per month was compared between study groups using negative binomial regression
- All statistical tests were carried out as two-sided and at a significance level of 0.05
- All data transformations and statistical analyses will be performed using SAS® version 9.4

REFERENCES



RESULTS

Study Population (Table 1)

- The study identified 4,993 patients diagnosed with IPF who initiated treatment with antifibrotics (2,587 pirfenidone and 2,406 nintedanib) during the study period
- After matching, there were 4,641 treated patients with 4,641 matched untreated controls
- Mean age (treated vs. untreated) was 76.0 vs. 76.1 years, 37.4% vs. 36.1% were female, and mean (SD) modified CCI was 3.3 (2.9) vs. 3.2 (2.8)
- Regarding proxies of disease severity, 14% vs. 8.2% of patients had pulmonary rehabilitation within 1 year prior to index, 95.9% vs 81.9% had respiratory diagnostic services within 1 year prior to index, 12.4% vs. 11.7% had pneumonia, and 4.1% vs. 3.1% had smoking cessation therapy
- Nearly two-thirds of patients in each group were newly diagnosed (64.4% vs. 63.8%)

Table 1. Patient Demographics Matched P Value Treated Untreated 4641 4641 No. of patients Age, mean (SD), years 76.0 (5.6) 76.1 (5.8) 0.642 Age category, n (%) 0.151 67–74 years 2025 (43.6) 2086 (44.9) 2188 (47.1) 75–84 years 2202 (47.4) ≥ 85 years 414 (8.9) 367 (7.9) Female, n (%) 1735 (37.4) 1674 (36.1) 0.189 White, n (%) 4394 (94.7) 4411 (95.0) 0.424 Modified CCI, mean (SD)[†] 3.3 (2.9) 3.2 (2.8) 0.103 No. of chronic conditions, mean (SD) 7.8 (2.0) 7.7 (2.0) < 0.001 COPD, including emphysema, n (%) 2768 (59.6) 2816 (60.7) 0.309 Obstructive sleep apnea, n (%) 1585 (34.2) 988 (21.3) < 0.001 119 (2.6) 232 (5.0) < 0.001 ung cancer, n (%) 135 (2.9) Pneumothorax, n (%) 326 (7.0) < 0.001 Gastroesophageal reflux, n (%) 2724 (58.7) 2397 (51.6) < 0.001 Obesity, n (%) 1174 (25.3) 733 (15.8) < 0.001 Cardiovascular conditions, n (%) 1043 (22.5) 1013 (21.8) 0.453 Atrial fibrillation Congestive heart failure 1404 (30.3) 1408 (30.3) 0.928 Cor pulmonale 200 (4.3) 234 (5.0) 0.095 2669 (57.5) 2687 (57.9) 0.705 Ischemic heart disease Pulmonary hypertension 483 (10.4) 514 (11.1) 0.299 288 (6.2) 266 (5.7) 0.335 Stroke Venous thromboembolism 386 (8.3) 353 (7.6) 0.206 186 (4.0) 144 (3.1) 0.019 Smoking cessation therapy, n (%) Pulmonary rehabilitation within 1 year prior to 651 (14.0) 381 (8.2) < 0.001 index, n (%) Respiratory diagnostic services within 1 year 4450 (95.9) 3800 (81.9) < 0.001 rior to index, n (%) 2989 (64.4) 2961 (63.8) 0.545 Newly diagnosed patients with IPF, n (%)

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

- Healthcare utilization, all-cause and respiratory-related inpatient hospitalization costs were statistically significantly lower in treated vs
 untreated patients with IPF.
- Although outpatient medications were higher in the treated group, antifibrotic use may help reduce healthcare utilization and inpatient costs for patients with IPF by reducing hospitalizations and length of stay.

Healthcare Resource Use and Cost

P Value

- Patients treated with antifibrotic therapy vs. untreated had lower Mean (SD): (Figure 1)
- All-cause inpatient hospitalizations (treated: 0.104 (0.33), matched untreated: 0.160 (0.41), p<0.001);
- ICU stays (treated 0.052 (0.28), matched untreated: 0.07 (0.29), p<0.001) per month.
- Respiratory-related inpatient hospitalizations (treated: 0.052 (0.24), matched untreated: 0.085 (0.31), p<0.001) and ICU stays (treated: 0.027 (0.20), matched untreated: 0.039 (0.23), p<0.001)
- All-cause and respiratory-related costs per patient month (PPM): (Figure 2)
 Outpatient services, \$1171 vs \$1917 and \$604 vs \$981,
- Outpatient services, \$1171 vs \$1917 and \$604 vs \$961
 Inpatient services, \$1584 vs \$3058 and \$820 vs \$1624;
- Outpatient medications, \$7883 vs \$401 and \$7488 vs \$3749 (p<0.001 for all)
- Figure 1. Healthcare Utilization in Follow-up^a





Figure 2. Healthcare Costs (Adjusted to 2017 US Dollars) in Follow-upa

<.0019

Treated Matched Untreated A

<.001



This poster was sponsored by Genentech, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. 4. Maher TM, Strek Met al. Available from:

4. Maher TM, Strek ME, Respir Res. 2019 Dec:20(1):205. 5. Saito S, et al. J Thorac Dis. 2019 Sep:11(Suppl: 14):S1740-54. 6. Raghu G, et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015 Jul 15;192(2):e3-19. 7.Maher TM, et al. BMC Pulm Med. 2017 Dec;17(1):124. 8. Salisbury M et al. Available from: https://www.atsjournals.org/doi/10.1164/arccm-conference.2019.199.1. MeetingAbstracts.A5622 9. Dempsey TM, et al. Available from: https://www.atsjournals.org/doi/10.1164/arccm-conference.2019.199.1. MeetingAbstracts.A5622 9. Dempsey TM, et al. Available from: https://www.atsjournals.org/doi/10.1164/arccm.201902-04560C