# Expert consensus recommendations for managing adverse events in patients with metastatic prostate cancer treated with poly (ADPribose) polymerase inhibitor (PARPi) + novel hormonal therapy (NHT) combination therapy

Neal D. Shore,<sup>1</sup> Michael S. Broder,<sup>2</sup> Pedro C. Barata,<sup>3</sup> Tony Crispino,<sup>4</sup> Andre P. Fay,<sup>5</sup> Jennifer Lloyd,<sup>6</sup> Begona Mellado,<sup>7</sup> Nobuaki Matsubara,<sup>8</sup> Nicklas Pfanzelter,<sup>9</sup> Katrin Schlack,<sup>10</sup> Paul Sieber,<sup>11</sup> Andrey Soares,<sup>12</sup> Hannah Dalglish,<sup>13</sup> Alexander Niyazov,<sup>14</sup> Saif Shaman,<sup>15</sup> Michael A. Zielinski,<sup>16</sup> Jane Chang,<sup>17</sup> Neeraj Agarwal<sup>18</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, Carolina Urologic Research Center/GenesisCare, Myrtle Beach, SC, USA; <sup>2</sup>President, PHAR (Partnership for Health Analytic Research), Beverly Hills, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Oncology, University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center, Cleveland, OH, USA; <sup>4</sup>Prostate Cancer Patient Advocate, Southwestern Oncology Group Cancer Research Network, UsTOO Prostate Cancer Support and Education, Las Vegas Chapter, NV, USA; <sup>5</sup>Medical Oncology Department, PUCRS School of Medicine, Porto Alegre, Brazil; <sup>6</sup>Department of Oncology, Huntsman Cancer Institute (NCI-CCC), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; <sup>7</sup>Medical Oncology Department, Clinical Hospital of Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba, Japan; <sup>9</sup>Department of Hematology & Medical Oncology, NorthShore University Health System, Evanston, IL, USA; <sup>10</sup>Department of Urology, Prostate Center, University of Muenster Medical Center, Muenster, Germany; <sup>11</sup>Department of Urology, Keystone Hospital, Sao Paulo, Brazil; <sup>13</sup>Project Manager, PHAR (Partnership for Health Analytic Research), Beverly Hills, CA, USA; <sup>14</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>15</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>15</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>15</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>17</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>17</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>18</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>19</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pf NY, USA; <sup>16</sup>Global Oncology, Pfizer Inc., Collegeville, PA, USA; <sup>17</sup>Oncology Value & Evidence, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>18</sup>Department of Medicine, Huntsman Cancer Institute (NCI-CCC), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA





Develop expert consensus on the management of adverse events (AEs) in patients with mPC treated with a combination of PARPi + NHT. These expert recommendations can help guide management of AEs in patients with mPC receiving combination PARPi + NHT therapy.

Mild (i.e., fatigue relieved by

rest)

Non-pharmacologic treatment

consistent with NCCN<sup>2</sup>

recommendations

<sup>2</sup> NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network

the toilet, taking medications, and not bedridden.

# Presenting author: Alexander Niyazov



Alexander.niyazov@pfizer.com **Email for more information** 

# Background

- Recent clinical trials (PROpel NCT03732820,<sup>1</sup> MAGNITUDE -NCT03748641,<sup>2</sup> and TALAPRO-2 - NCT03395197<sup>3</sup>) have shown a significant improvement in radiographic progression-free survival in men with metastatic prostate cancer (mPC) treated with combination PARPi and NHT treatment.
- Between May 2023 and August 2023, the Food and Drug Administration approved 3 PARPi + NHT combination therapies for the treatment of patients with mPC in the United States.<sup>4–6</sup> Between November 2022 and August 2023, a PARPi + NHT combination was also approved by European Medicine Agency, Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (Japan), and Health Canada.7-9
- Across clinical trials, commonly reported adverse events (AEs) associated from this treatment combination include nausea and vomiting, anemia, fatigue, constipation, decreased hemoglobin, neutrophils, platelets, and laboratory abnormalities.<sup>4–6</sup>
- There are currently no available guidelines or consensus for management of AEs induced by combination PARPi + NHT.
- The objective of the multidisciplinary and geographically diverse panel was to develop expert consensus on the management of AEs in patients with mPC treated with a combination of PARPi + NHT.

# **Materials and Methods**

• The RAND/University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) Appropriateness Method was used to develop AE management guidelines.





- AEs were defined and classified by severity using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) and National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines.
- A panel of 12 experts 1) were provided a literature review of common AEs from PARPi and NHT therapies across cancer types; 2) using a rating form survey, independently rated 419 AE management options for the agent suspected of causing the AE on a 1–9 scale; 3) discussed areas of agreement and disagreement at a professionally-moderated, in-person meeting in March 2023; and 4) repeated the ratings.
- Second-round ratings formed the basis of expert recommendations, approved by all panelists in September 2023.
- Experts included 8 genitourinary-focused healthcare professionals (7 medical oncologists, 1 advanced practice registered nurse), 3 urologists, and 1 patient advocate.
- The advanced practice registered nurse and patient advocate were included to represent non-physician providers who frequently see patients with mPC.
- Panelists had an average of 16 years of clinical experience (range 4-34) and experience treating and/or consulting patients with mPC (mean 179 patients, range 60-325 in the past year at the time of the panel meeting).

# Results

- Areas of disagreement decreased from 41% to 21% between first and second round ratings.
- Panelists agreed on 59% of ratings in Round 1 and 78% in Round 2.
- There was agreement on at least 1 management strategy for every clinical situation discussed.

# Conclusions

- This expert guidance is based on currently available evidence and the agreement of a multidisciplinary group of medical oncologists, urologists, an advanced practice registered nurse, and a patient advocate.
- These statements are not specific to individual PARPi + NHT agents. The absolute level of dose reduction and the length of time treatment should be held in response to an AE must be individualized and practitioners should refer to individual drug labels for more specific guidance.

#### Figure 5. Vomiting Management Vomiting<sup>13</sup> Moderate (i.e., 3-5 Severe (i.e., ≥6 episodes Mild (i.e., 1-2 episodes episodes separated by 5 separated by 5 minutes separated by 5 minutes minutes in 24 hrs.) in 24 hrs.) in 24 hrs.) Pharmacologic therapy Pharmacologic therapy Pharmacologic therapy consistent with NCCN consistent with NCCN consistent with NCCN and/or ASCO guidelines and/or ASCO guidelines and/or ASCO guidelines for NV<sup>14</sup> and if for NV<sup>14</sup> and if for NV<sup>14</sup> and if persistent. persistent. persistent. Temporarily hold;15 plan Temporarily hold;<sup>15</sup> plan Temporarily hold;<sup>15</sup> plar to restart at reduced to restart at reduced to restart at reduced dose<sup>16</sup> dose<sup>16</sup> dose<sup>16</sup> Severity as defined by CTCAE v4.0 and v5.0. Assume treating physician has determined the cause of the adverse event is NHT/PARPi treatment

<sup>4</sup> NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; ASCO: American Society of Clinical Oncology Agent suspected of causing adverse event (e.g., instruction does not apply to other part of combination therapy). <sup>6</sup> Restarting therapy depends on the patient's clinical status and relevant test results. atment discontinuation must be weighed carefully against the potential clinical benefit, particularly among patients who are BRCA1/2 positive



Permanently

discontinue<sup>1</sup>

# Figure 6. Anemia Management



## Figure 8. Thrombocytopenia Management



• These recommendations can help guide physician management of AEs in patients with mPC receiving combination PARPi + NHT therapy.



<sup>27</sup> Severity as defined by NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2023 Hematopoietic Growth Factors. Assume treating physician has determined the cause of the adverse event is NHT/PARPi treatment. <sup>28</sup> ANC: Absolute neutrophil count <sup>29</sup> LLN: Lower limit of normal. <sup>30</sup> The decision to restart at the same or a reduced dose depends on individual patient circumstances. <sup>31</sup> Predicted to decline to ≤500 neutrophils/mcL over the next 48 hours. <sup>32</sup> Agent suspected of causing adverse event (e.g., instruction does not apply to other part of combination therapy).

<sup>33</sup> Restarting therapy depends on the patient's clinical status and relevant test results.

<sup>34</sup> Treatment discontinuation must be weighed carefully against the potential clinical benefit, particularly among patients who are BRCA1/2 positive.

## Figure 9. Elevated ALT/AST Management



#### <sup>32</sup> Agent suspected of causing adverse event (e.g., instruction does not apply to other part of combination therapy).

<sup>33</sup> Restarting therapy depends on the patient's clinical status and relevant test results.

<sup>34</sup> Treatment discontinuation must be weighed carefully against the potential clinical benefit, particularly among patients who are BRCA1/2 positive.

<sup>35</sup> Severity defined by CTCAE v3.0, Blood and Bone Marrow Adverse Events, Platelets. 2006. Assume treating physician has determined the cause of the adverse event is NHT/PARPi treatment.

## Figure 10. Elevated Creatinine Management



metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer [Internet]. 2023 Aug.

resistant prostate cancer [Internet]. 2022.

[Internet]. 2023.

with BRCA mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer [Internet]. 2023.

#### Copyright ©2021. All rights reserved.

### <sup>36</sup> Severity defined by CTCAE v5.0. ALT: alanine transaminase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase Assume treating physician has determined the cause of the adverse event is NHT/PARPi

<sup>37</sup> ULN: Upper limit of normal.

treatment

<sup>38</sup> Agent suspected of causing adverse event (e.g., instruction does not apply to other part of combination therapy).

<sup>39</sup> Restarting therapy depends on the patient's clinical status and relevant test results. The decision to restart at the same or a reduced dose depends on individual patient circumstances. <sup>40</sup> Treatment discontinuation must be weighed carefully against the potential clinical benefit, particularly among patients who are BRCA1/2 positive.

#### <sup>37</sup> ULN: Upper limit of normal.

<sup>38</sup> Agent suspected of causing adverse event (e.g., instruction does not apply to other part of combination therapy). <sup>39</sup> Restarting therapy depends on the patient's clinical status and relevant test results. The decision to restart at the same or a reduced dose depends on individual patient circumstances. <sup>40</sup> Treatment discontinuation must be weighed carefully against the potential clinical benefit, particularly among patients who are BRCA1/2 positive. <sup>41</sup> Severity defined by CTCAE v5.0. Assume treating physician has determined the cause of the adverse event is NHT/PARPi treatment. 42 eGFR or CrCl 59 - 15 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> <sup>43</sup> Restarting therapy depends on the patient's clinical status and relevant test results. <sup>44</sup> eGFR or CrCl <15 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; dialysis or renal transplant indicated.



## **Electronic Poster**

An electronic version of this poster may be obtained by scanning this QR code with your smartphone app. Copies of this poster obtained through the QR Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO® and the author of this poster. To request permission or to ask questions about the poster please contact dadkins@wustl.edu.

#### **References:**

**1.** AstraZeneca. A Randomised, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Multicentre Phase III Study of Olaparib Plus Abiraterone Relative to Placebo Plus Abiraterone as First-line Therapy in Men With Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer (PROpel Study) [Internet]. clinicaltrials.gov; 2023 May. Report No: NCT03732820.

2. Janssen Research & Development, LLC. A Phase 3 Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Double-blind Study of Niraparib in Combination With Abiraterone Acetate and Prednisone Versus Abiraterone Acetate and Prednisone in Subjects With Metastatic Prostate Cancer [Internet]. clinicaltrials.gov; 2023 Aug. Report No: NCT03748641.

3. Pfizer. A phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of talazoparib with enzalutamide in metastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer [Internet]. clinicaltrials.gov; 2021 Feb. Report No: NCT03395197.

4. Research C for DE and. FDA D.I.S.C.O. Burst Edition: FDA approval of Lynparza (olaparib), with abiraterone and prednisone, for BRCA-mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. FDA [Internet]. 2023 Jul 13.

5. Research C for DE and. FDA approves talazoparib with enzalutamide for HRR gene-mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. FDA [Internet]. 2023 Jun 20.

6. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA approves niraparib and abiraterone acetate plus prednisone for BRCA-mutated

7. Lynparza in combination with abiraterone approved in the EU as 1st-line treatment for patients with metastatic castration-

8. Lynparza plus abiraterone approved in Japan for the treatment of BRCA-mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer

9. AstraZeneca. Health Canada approves Lynparza in combination with abiraterone and prednisone or prednisolone for patients

Presented at 15<sup>th</sup> European Multidisciplinary Congress on Urological Cancers (EMUC) • November 02-05, 2023 • Marseille, France