Determinants of higher costs and inpatient hospitalization among patients with AL amyloidosis

A. D'Souza, MD¹; M.S. Broder, MD, MSHS²; A.K. Das, MBBS, MPH, PhD²; E. Chang, PhD²; T.P. Quock^{3*}; P.S. Bajaj, PhD³; A. Conrad, PhD³; M.H. Tarbox, MPP²

EE397

コタナロ

Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA; ² PHAR (Partnership for Health Analytic Research), Beverly Hills, CA, USA; ³ Prothena Biosciences Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA.

* Formerly Prothena Biosciences Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA.

BACKGROUND

- Amyloid light chain (AL) amyloidosis is a rare, progressive, and typically fatal disease caused by underlying plasma cell dyscrasia.¹
 - Staging is based on cardiac and hematologic biomarkers that indicate severity of disease including the presence and severity of heart damage.²
- Clinical and economic burden have been shown to be higher in persons with AL amyloidosis compared to those without.³⁻⁸
 - However, the impact of factors such as disease severity has not been examined.
 - Additionally, the specific AL amyloidosis International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Edition, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) diagnosis code, E85.81, was not introduced until 2017. Thus, many published estimates of healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) and costs are based on populations identified using non-specific ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes.
- We aimed to examine determinants of healthcare costs and inpatient hospitalization in AL amyloidosis, using the ICD-10-CM code specific for AL amyloidosis.

METHODS

Study design and data source

 Retrospective analysis using 2019 data from the Merative® MarketScan® Commercial and Medicare Supplemental and the IQVIA Pharmetrics Plus® databases.

Patient population

- Adult patients (existing or newly diagnosed) with ≥1 inpatient or ≥2 outpatient claims for AL amyloidosis (ICD-10-CM code E85.81) in any diagnosis field during 1/1/2019-12/31/2019 in the United States.
- Continuous enrollment in a health plan in the calendar year was required.

Outcomes and statistical analysis

- To identify the determinants of higher costs and hospitalization, linear and logistic regression models, respectively, were conducted using a forward selection method.
 - For both models, the base model included: age groups, gender, region, and advanced disease (defined as the presence of advanced cardiac or renal conditions heart failure, myocardial infarction, chronic kidney disease [stages 4 & 5, pulmonary hypertension, sudden cardiac death/cardiac arrest], or use of cardiac stent or dialysis) as the independent variables.
- Variables that most improved the fit among the following characteristics and comorbidities were sequentially added: provider specialty of hematologist/oncologist, individual classifications in the Charlson Comorbidity Index (a total of 18 variables including one cancer variable excluding multiple myeloma), monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance, hypothyroidism, Waldenström's macroglobulinemia, hypotension, hyperlipidemia, carpal tunnel syndrome, hepatomegaly, purpura, claudication, stroke, and peripheral neuropathy.
- Apart from age, gender, region, and severity of AL amyloidosis, all other independent variables in the final model were considered significant with a p-value <0.05.
- Data transformations and statistical analyses were performed using SAS® version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

• We identified 591 patients with AL amyloidosis: mean age 60.8 years, 42.6% females (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with AL amyloidosis (2019)

N	591
Age, mean (SD) [median]	60.8 (9.9) [61]
18-34, n (%)	5 (0.8)
35-54	130 (22.0)
55-64	309 (52.3)
65+	147 (24.9)
Female, n (%)	252 (42.6)
Region, n (%)	
Midwest	192 (32.5)
Northeast	122 (20.6)
South	204 (34.5)
West	73 (12.4)
Insurance type, n (%)	
Commercial	387 (65.5)
Medicare	110 (18.6)
Other	94 (15.9)
Advanced AL Amyloidosis, ^a n (%)	
Non-advanced	248 (42.0)
Advanced	343 (58.0)
Charlson Comorbidity Index, mean (SD) [median]	4.0 (2.7) [4]
Congestive heart failure, n (%)	288 (48.7)
Chronic pulmonary disease	139 (23.5)
Renal disease	252 (42.6)
End stage renal disease	58 (9.8)
Multiple myeloma	336 (56.9)

^a Defined as the presence of advanced cardiac or renal conditions - heart failure, myocardial infarction, chronic kidney disease (stages 4 & 5, pulmonary hypertension, sudden cardiac death/cardiac arrest), or use of cardiac stent or dialysis.

RESULTS (CONTINUED)

- Mean (SD) total all-cause cost was \$143,594 (171,486.4) and 39.1% of patients had a hospitalization.
- Determinants of higher healthcare costs included: having advanced AL amyloidosis (\$57,280 higher than non-severe, p<0.001); and being younger than 65: 18-34 (estimate: \$145,893 higher than ≥ 65, p=0.028), 35-54 (\$88,638 higher, p<0.001), or 55-64 (\$69,901 higher, p<0.001) (Table 2).
 - Myocardial infarction, multiple myeloma, and other specific comorbid conditions were associated with higher costs (all p<0.05).
- Determinants of hospitalization included: having advanced AL amyloidosis (odds ratio: 3.01, p<0.001), myocardial infarction (2.49, p=0.004), cerebrovascular disease (2.14, p=0.042), chronic pulmonary disease (2.49, p<0.001), connective tissue/rheumatic disease (3.54, p=0.015), mild liver disease (2.25, p=0.017), diabetes with chronic complications (3.43, p=0.001), hypotension (2.30, p=0.002), hepatomegaly (7.05, p<0.001), and purpura (2.84, p<0.001) (Table 2).

Table 2. Determinants of all-cause costs and inpatient hospitalization

Table 2. Determinants of an-cause costs and impatient hospitalization					
	All-cause costs ^a		All-cause inpatient		
			hospitalization		
Effect	Estimate (95% CI)	P Value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	
Age	* 4 4 5 0 0 0 (4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<0.001 ^d	0.47 (0.05 0.4.00)	0.250°	
18-34 vs 65+	\$145,893 (16029 – 275757)	0.028	2.47 (0.25 - 24.80)	0.442	
35-54 vs 65+	\$88,638 (52329 – 124948)	<0.001	1.66 (0.90 – 3.08)	0.107	
55-64 vs 65+	\$69,901 (40316 – 99487)	<0.001	1.61 (0.98 – 2.65)	0.059	
Female vs Male	\$-28,805 (-52938 – -4672)	0.019	1.26 (0.84 – 1.90)	0.260	
Region	Φ404 (00440 00040)	0.225 ^d	0.74 (0.40 4.47)	0.263°	
Midwest vs South	\$104 (-29140 – 29349)	0.994	0.71 (0.43 – 1.17)	0.179	
Northeast vs South	\$8,002 (-24895 – 40900)	0.633	0.97 (0.57 – 1.66)	0.922	
West vs South	\$38,912 (-775 – 78600)	0.055	0.57 (0.29 – 1.12)	0.104	
Advanced e vs non-	\$57,280 (31551 – 83008)	< 0.001	3.01 (1.94 – 4.66)	<0.001	
advanced AL amyloidosis	4 5 5 (5 1 5 5 5)				
Myocardial infarction, yes	\$51,154 (13083 — 89225)	0.009	2.49(1.34 - 4.61)	0.004	
vs no	φοι, τοι (10000 00220)	01000	2110 (1101 1101)	0.001	
Cerebrovascular disease,	_	_	2.14 (1.03 - 4.47)	0.042	
yes vs no			2.14 (1.00 4.47)	0.072	
Chronic pulmonary	_	_	2.49 (1.56 – 3.96)	<0.001	
disease, yes vs no	_	_	2.49 (1.30 – 3.30)	\0.001	
Connective					
tissue/rheumatic disease,	-	-	3.54 (1.27 - 9.83)	0.015	
yes vs no					
Mild liver disease, yes vs			0.05 (4.46 4.00)	0.047	
no	_	-	2.25 (1.16 – 4.38)	0.017	
Diabetes with chronic			0.40 (4.04 7.40)	0.004	
complications, yes vs no	_	_	3.43 (1.64 – 7.16)	0.001	
Peripheral vascular	ΦΕΟ 07Ε (04Ε47 00000)	0.000			
disease, yes vs no	\$56,075 (21517 – 90633)	0.002	-	-	
Paraplegia or Hemiplegia,	* 4 * 0	0.000			
yes vs no	\$159,194 (40973 – 277415)	0.008	_	-	
Multiple myeloma, yes vs					
no	\$83,044 (58839 – 107249)	<0.001	-	-	
Cancer excluding multiple					
myeloma, yes vs no	\$60,173 (32990 – 87355)	<0.001	-	-	
Hypotension, yes vs no	\$60,256 (28725 – 91787)	<0.001	2.30 (1.37 – 3.85)	0.002	
Hepatomegaly, yes vs no	-	-	7.05 (2.63 – 18.93)	< 0.002	
Carpal tunnel syndrome,			7.00 (2.00 10.00)	,0,001	
•	\$64,749 (5198 – 124301)	0.033	-	-	
	\$54 014 (19389 - 88639)	0 002	2 84 (1 60 - 5 03)	<0.001	
yes vs no Purpura, yes vs no	\$54,014 (19389 – 88639)	0.002	2.84 (1.60 – 5.03)	<0.001	

95% CI: 95% confidence interval. ^a Final linear regression model. To identify the risk factors, both models used a forward selection method by always including age (in year), gender, region, and severity of AL amyloidosis as independent variables, and sequentially added variables among selected characteristics and comorbidities (described in Methods). Besides age, gender region, and severity of AL amyloidosis, all remaining independent variables in the final models are significant (p<0.05). ^b Final logistic regression model. ^c Wald Chi-square test of Type III analysis of effects. ^d F-test of Type III sum of squares. ^e Defined as the presence of advanced cardiac or renal conditions - heart failure, myocardial infarction, chronic kidney disease (stages 4 & 5, pulmonary hypertension, sudden cardiac death/cardiac arrest), or use of cardiac stent or dialysis.

LIMITATIONS

- Limitations include possible miscoding, a common limitation of claims data research, leading some patients to possibly have been misidentified; however, our methodology for identifying amyloidosis patients is consistent with previously published work using claims data.^{4,5,8-10}
- Increased age was associated with decreasing costs, which may be due to trends in treatment, with older people getting less aggressive treatment such as hematopoietic stem cell transplant; however, we are unable to confirm reasons for this trend in this study.
- Results may not be generalizable to other populations not covered by commercial and Medicare supplemental insurance.

CONCLUSION

- Younger age, advanced disease, and associated specific comorbidities are associated with higher healthcare costs and/or hospitalization in persons with AL amyloidosis.
- Results from our study may inform policy efforts to contain healthcare costs and reduce utilization for persons with AL amyloidosis, including in individuals with advanced disease.

REFERENCES

1. Baker KR. Methodist DeBakey Cardiovasc J. 2022;18:27–35. 2. Kumar S, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:989-995. 3. Sabinot A, et al. Blood Reviews. 2023. 4. Quock TP, et al. J Comp Eff Res. 2018. 5. Hari P, et al. Amyloid. 2018. 6. Taduru SS, et al. J Card Fail. 2017. 7. Isath A, et al. J Arrhythmia. 2020. 8. Quock TP, et al. J Comp Eff Res. 2018.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

This analysis was supported by Prothena Biosciences Ltd (Dublin, Ireland), a member of the Prothena Corporation plc group. A Conrad and PS Bajaj are employees of Prothena Biosciences Inc and hold stock in Prothena Corporation plc. TP Quock is a former employee of Prothena Biosciences Inc. A D'Souza is an employee of the Medical College of Wisconsin, and was paid by Prothena to consult as a subject matter expert on the design and interpretation of the results of the study. E Chang, AK Das, MS Broder and MH Tarbox are employees of PHAR, LLC, which received funding from Prothena to conduct the research described.