Inflammation in Patients Receiving Aflibercept, Bevacizumab, or Ranibizumab: Analysis of 936,926 Intravitreal Injections

> Szilárd Kiss,¹ Pravin U. Dugel,² Eunice Chang,³ Michael S. Broder,³ Adam Turpcu⁴

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY; ²Retinal Consultants of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona and Department of Ophthalmology and Keck School of Medicine. University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California; ³Partnership for Health Analytic Research, LLC, Beverly Hills, CA; ⁴Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, CA

Disclosures: **SK**: Consultant: Allergan, Alimera, Alcon, Genentech, Regeneron, and Optos; Research funding: Allergan, Alimera, Genentech, Regeneron, and Optos; **PUD**: Consultant: Genentech, Novartis and Regeneron; **EC**, **MSB**: Employees of Partnership for Health Analytic Research, LLC, and consultants for Genentech. **AT**: Employee of Genentech.

Support for third-party writing assistance was furnished by Rebecca Jarvis, PhD, CMPP, and Grace H. Lee, PharmD, of Envision Scientific Solutions, and provided by Genentech, Inc.

Objective and Methods

- Objective:
 - To examine inflammation in clinical practice following intravitreal injection of aflibercept, bevacizumab, or ranibizumab in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) or central retinal vein occlusion (RVO)
- Comparisons are made between:
 - Ranibizumab injection (Lucentis®)
 - Aflibercept injection (Eylea[®])
 - Bevacizumab injection (Avastin[®])
- Outcomes:
 - Risk of endophthalmitis stratified by anti-VEGF use for nAMD or RVO

- Study period:
 - November 18, 2011–June 30, 2013
- Database:
 - Wolters Kluwer Health's Source[®] Lx database (HIPAA-compliant administrative claims database)

Inclusion Criteria and Patient Identification

- This claim analysis included
 encounters with a
 - Diagnosis of nAMD^a or RVO^b during the identification period (11/18/11–5/31/2013)
 AND
 - A claim^c for intravitreal anti-VEGF injection^d on the same date of the selected diagnosis
- Date of encounter = date of anti-VEGF injection
- Each encounter was followed for 30 days^e for claims for endophthalmitis^f (surrogate marker for inflammation)



^aICD-9-CM 362.52; ^bICD-9-CM 362.35; ^cCurrent Procedural Terminology code 67028; ^dHCPCS codes: Ranibizumab: 11/18/2011–Present, J2778. Bevacizumab: 1) 11/18/2011–Present, J3490 or J3590; payment: \$1–500. 2) 11/18/2011–Present, J9035. 3) 11/18/2011–Present, C9257. Aflibercept: 1) 11/18/2011–Present, J3490 or J3590; payment: \$1,500+ 2) 04/01/2012– 06/30/2012, C9291. 3) 07/01/2012–12/31/2012, Q2046. 4) 01/01/2013–Present, J0178; ^eFintak DR, et al. *Retina.* 2008 Nov-Dec;28(10):1395-9. ^fICD-9-CM codes 360.0x and 360.19 Inflammation in Patients Receiving Aflibercept, Bevacizumab, or Ranibizumab: Analysis of 936,926 Intravitreal Injections

Risk of Endophthalmitis Stratified by Anti-VEGF Therapy and Indication



Repeated measures analysis was adjusted for age, gender, region, number of chronic conditions, Charlson comorbidity index, diabetes mellitus, cataract, glaucoma, and first injection (vs. subsequent injection). Bars above and within each column represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence intervals.

Conclusions

- This claims database analysis was conducted to evaluate real-world experiences of inflammation following intravitreal anti-VEGF injections in patients with nAMD or RVO
- In nAMD and RVO patients, rates of inflammation were higher following intravitreal injection with aflibercept compared with both ranibizumab and bevacizumab
 - In nAMD patients, the difference between ranibizumab and bevacizumab was not statistically significant
 - In RVO patients, rates of inflammation were higher for bevacizumab compared with ranibizumab (P = 0.04)